

DETERMINATION OF NEPA ADEQUACY

U.S. Department of the Interior
Utah Bureau of Land Management

OFFICE: Cedar City Field Office

TRACKING NUMBER: DOI-BLM-UT-C010-2018-0004-DNA

CASEFILE/PROJECT NUMBER: UTU88167-Presale Offer; Parcel UT0618-004

PROPOSED ACTION TITLE/TYPE: Oil and Gas Lease Sale

LOCATION/LEGAL DESCRIPTION: T. 27 S., R. 7 W., SLM Sec. 35: S2SE.

A. Description of the Proposed Action and Any Applicable Mitigation Measures

An 80 acre parcel, UT0618-004, within the jurisdiction of the Cedar City Field Office (CCFO), is proposed for sale at the competitive oil and gas lease sale to be held in June 2018. The proposed action would include offering the parcel at the June 2018 oil and gas lease sale and the subsequent issuance of the lease with additional resource protection measures consistent with the appropriate land use plan. The following notices would be attached to the lease:

Number	Resource	Lease Notice
UT-LN-01	Crucial Mule Deer Winter Range	The lessee/operator is given notice that this lease has been identified as containing crucial winter mule deer and elk habitat. No surface use or otherwise disruptive activity allowed from November 1 through May 15 within identified crucial winter mule deer and/or elk habitat. Modifications to the Surface Use Plan of Operations may be required in accordance with section 6 of the lease terms and 43CFR3101.1-2.
UT-LN-43	Raptors	The lessee/operator is given notice that this lease has been identified as containing raptor habitat. Surveys will be required whenever surface disturbances and/or occupancy is proposed in association with fluid mineral exploration and development within potential raptor nesting areas. Field surveys will be conducted as determined by the authorized officer of the Bureau of Land Management. Based on the result of the field survey, the authorized officer will determine appropriate buffers and timing limitations. Modifications to the Surface Use Plan of Operations may be required in accordance with section 6 of the lease terms and 43CFR3101.1-2.
UT-LN-44	Raptors	Appropriate seasonal and spatial buffers shall be placed on all known raptor nests in accordance with Utah Field Office Guidelines for Raptor Protection from Human and Land use Disturbances (USFWS 2002) and Best Management Practices for Raptors and their Associated Habitats in Utah (BLM 2006). All construction related activities will not occur within these buffers if pre-construction monitoring indicates the nests are active, unless a site specific evaluation for active nests is completed prior to construction and if a BLM wildlife biologist, in consultation with USFWS and UDWR, recommends that activities may be permitted within the buffer. The BLM will coordinate with the USFWS and UDWR and have a recommendation within 3-5 days of notification.

Number	Resource	Lease Notice
		Any construction activities authorized within a protective (spatial and seasonal) buffer for raptors will require an on-site monitor. Any indication that activities are adversely affecting the raptor and/or its' young the on-site monitor will suspend activities and contact the BLM Authorized Officer immediately. Construction may occur within the buffers of inactive nests. Construction activities may commence once monitoring of the active nest site determines that fledglings have left the nest and are no longer dependent on the nest site. Modifications to the Surface Use Plan of Operations may be required in accordance with section 6 of the lease terms and 43CFR3101.1-2.
UT-LN-45	Migratory Birds	The lessee/operator is given notice that surveys for nesting migratory birds may be required during migratory bird breeding season whenever surface disturbances and/or occupancy is proposed in association with fluid mineral exploration and development within priority habitats. Surveys should focus on identified priority bird species in Utah. Field surveys will be conducted as determined by the authorized officer of the Bureau of Land Management. Based on the result of the field survey, the authorized officer will determine appropriate buffers and timing limitations.
UT-LN-52	Noxious Weeds	The lessee/operator is given notice that lands in this lease have been identified as containing or are near areas containing noxious weeds. Best management practices to prevent or control noxious weeds may be required for operations on the lease.
UT-LN-55	Water and Riparian	The lessee/operator is given notice that this lease may need modifications to the Surface Use Plan of Operations in order to prevent water pollution and protect municipal and non-municipal watershed areas. No surface use or otherwise disruptive activity allowed within 500 feet of live water or the reservoirs located in the Beaver, Milford and Sevier River drainages, Parowan and Cedar Valley drainages, or Pinto Creek/Newcastle Reservoir drainage in order to prevent water quality degradation in accordance with section 6 of the lease terms and 43CFR3101.1-2.
UT-LN-92	Cultural Resources	The lease area may now or hereafter be found to contain historic properties and/or resources protected under the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA), the Archaeological Resources Protections Act (ARPA), the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA), the American Indian Religious Freedom Act (AIRFA), other statutes and Executive Order 13007, and which may be of concern to Native American tribes, interested parties, and the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO). BLM will not approve any ground disturbing activities as part of future lease operations until it completes applicable requirements of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA), including the completion of any required procedure for notification and consultation with appropriate tribe(s) and/or the SHPO. BLM may require modifications to exploration and development proposals to further its conservation and management objectives on BLM-approved activities that are determine to affect or impact historic or cultural properties and/or resources.
UT-LN-99	Regional Ozone	To mitigate any potential impact oil and gas development emissions may have on regional ozone formation, the following Best Management Practices (BMPs) would be required for any development projects: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tier II or better drilling rig engines • Stationary internal combustion engine standard of 2g NOx/bhp-hr for engines <300HP and 1g NOx/bhp-hr for engines >300HP • Low bleed or no bleed pneumatic pump valves • Dehydrator VOC emission controls to +95% efficiency • Tank VOC emission controls to +95% efficiency
UT-LN-102	Air Quality	The lessee/operator is given notice that prior to project-specific approval, additional air quality analyses may be required to comply with the National

Number	Resource	Lease Notice
		Environmental Policy Act, Federal Land Policy Management Act, and/or other applicable laws and regulations. Analyses may include dispersion modeling and/or photochemical modeling for deposition and visibility impacts analysis, control equipment determinations, and/or emission inventory development. These analyses may result in the imposition of additional project-specific air quality control measures.

B. Land Use Plan (LUP) Conformance

The proposed action is subject to the Cedar Beaver Garfield Antimony Resource Management Plan (CBGA RMP) approved in October 1986. It conforms to Minerals Objective 1 on page 19, which states: "Provide maximum leasing opportunity for oil, gas, and geothermal exploration and development by utilizing the least restrictive leasing categories necessary to adequately protect sensitive resources." It has been determined that the proposed action would not conflict with other decisions throughout the land use plan. The CBGA RMP categorizes all lands in the planning area that are available for leasing along with any applicable stipulations that would be attached to leases offered for certain areas. Parcel UT0618-004 is located within an area categorized in the CBGA RMP as Category 1 lands that are open to oil and gas leasing with standard lease stipulations.

C. Identify the applicable National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documents and other related documents that cover the proposed action.

The Environmental Assessment (EA) for the May 2015 Preliminary Oil and Gas Lease Sale (DOI-BLM-UT-C020-2015-0009-EA).

The EA for the June 2017 Oil and Gas Lease Sale (DOI-BLM-UT-C020-2017-0001-EA).

D. NEPA Adequacy Criteria

1. Is the new proposed action a feature of, or essentially similar to, an alternative analyzed in the existing NEPA document(s)? Is the project within the same analysis area, or if the project location is different, are the geographic and resource conditions sufficiently similar to those analyzed in the existing NEPA document(s)? If there are differences, can you explain why they are not substantial?

☒ Yes
☐ No

Parcel UT0618-004 is located about 5 miles northeast of the parcels approved for lease in DOI-BLM-UT-C020-2015-0009-EA. Lease notices required for the new parcel would be a subset of those required in DOI-BLM-UT-C020-2015-0009-EA.

2. Is the range of alternatives analyzed in the existing NEPA document(s) appropriate with respect to the new proposed action (or existing proposed action), given current environmental concerns, interests, and resource values?

☒ Yes
☐ No

DOI-BLM-UT-C020-2017-0001-EA and DOI-BLM-UT-C020-2015-0009-EA analyzed a proposed and no action alternative. Alternatives considered but eliminated from further analysis were described in Section 2.4 of the EAs. The alternatives in the EAs addressed all of the issues and concerns identified by the public. No change in environmental concerns, interests, and resource values have been identified that would render previously analyzed alternatives inadequate, thus the existing range of alternatives is appropriate.

3. Is existing analysis adequate in light of any new information or circumstances (such as, rangeland health standards assessment; recent endangered species listings, updated list of BLM sensitive species)? Can you reasonably conclude that new information and new circumstances would not substantially change the analysis of the new proposed action?

☒ Yes
☐ No

There has been no change in rangeland health standards assessments, endangered species listings or updated lists of BLM sensitive species since completion of the EAs. Since completion of DOI-BLM-UT-C020-2015-0009-EA, Leonard Herr, air quality specialist for the BLM Utah State Office, performed additional analysis regarding potential impacts to greenhouse gas conditions for the Color Country District. This updated analysis was contained in DOI-BLM-UT-C020-2017-0001-EA, which was completed by the BLM Richfield Field Office in 2017. The air quality analysis from DOI-BLM-UT-C020-2017-0001-EA is appropriate for this proposed action, as both the Richfield and Cedar City field offices are in the Color Country District. Impacts would be the same in both field offices.

4. Are the direct, indirect, and cumulative effects that would result from implementation of the new proposed action similar (both quantitatively and qualitatively) to those analyzed in the existing NEPA document?

☒ Yes
☐ No

Parcel UT0618-004 is located about 5 miles northeast of the parcels approved for lease in DOI-BLM-UT-C020-2015-0009-EA. The affected environment on Parcel UT0618-004 is essentially similar to that described in DOI-BLM-UT-C020-2015-0009-EA. The following table shows the difference in the lease notices required for the previous and proposed parcels.

Lease Notices

DOI-BLM-UT-C020-2015-0009-EA or DOI-BLM-UT-C020-2017-0001-EA	Parcel UT0618 – 004
UT-LN-01: Crucial Winter Mule Deer and Elk Habitat	UT-LN-01: Crucial Winter Mule Deer and Elk Habitat
UT-LN-38: Ferruginous Hawk Nest Sites	--
UT-LN-42: Burrowing Owl Habitat	--
UT-LN-43: Raptors	UT-LN-43: Raptors
UT-LN-44: Raptors	UT-LN-44: Raptors
UT-LN-45: Migratory Bird	UT-LN-45: Migratory Bird
UT-LN-46: Pygmy Rabbit	--
UT-LN-49: Utah Sensitive Species	--
UT-LN-52: Noxious Weeds	UT-LN-52: Noxious Weeds
UT-LN-55: Water and Watershed Protection	UT-LN-55: Water and Watershed Protection
UT-LN-92: Notification & Consultation Regarding Cultural Resources	UT-LN-92: Notification & Consultation Regarding Cultural Resources
UT-LN-99: Regional Ozone Formation Controls	UT-LN-99: Regional Ozone Formation Controls
UT-LN-102: Air Quality Analysis	UT-LN-102: Air Quality Analysis
UT-S-01: Air Quality	UT-S-01: Air Quality

5. Are the public involvement and interagency review associated with existing NEPA document(s) adequate for the current proposed action?

☒ Yes
☐ No

Both DOI-BLM-UT-C020-2017-0001-EA and DOI-BLM-UT-C020-2015-0009-EA allowed for public scoping and comment on the EAs before a decision record was completed.

This DNA was offered for public comment from December 23, 2017 to January 23, 2018. One comment was received regarding this DNA from Trout Unlimited. They expressed a concern that this DNA contained a lease stipulation required a 500-foot riparian buffer while an environmental assessment report which was offered at the same time for public comment by the BLM Richfield Field Office required a smaller buffer. It was requested that the lease stipulations be the same for both documents. While lease *notices* may be consistent within a state or district, lease *stipulations* are taken directly from a land use plan. The Cedar City Field Office land use plan was approved in 1986 and consequently may not be consistent with newer land use plans in the state. A new land use plan is currently being prepared for the Cedar City Field Office which will be consistent with policy current at the time of the approval of the land use plan.

E. Persons/Agencies/BLM Staff Consulted:

See attached checklist.

CONCLUSION

Plan Conformance:

This proposal conforms to the applicable land use plan.

This proposal does not conform to the applicable land use plan.

Determination of NEPA Adequacy:

Based on the review documented above, I conclude that this proposal conforms to the applicable land use plan and that the NEPA documentation fully covers the proposed action and constitutes BLM's compliance with the requirements of the NEPA.

The existing NEPA documentation does not fully cover the proposed action. Additional NEPA documentation is needed if the project is to be further considered.

Decision Documentation:

A new decision will be prepared.

The proposed action is a subset of an existing decision signed on _____, therefore no new decision needs to be prepared.

Note: The signed Conclusion on this Worksheet is part of an interim step in the BLM's internal decision process and does not constitute an appealable decision. However, the lease, permit, or other authorization based on this DNA is subject to protest or appeal under 43 CFR Part 4 and the program-specific regulations.



Signature of Environmental Coordinator

12/14/17

Date

 Acting FM

Signature of the Responsible Official

4-20-2018

Date

ATTACHMENTS


Project Map

ID Team Checklist

Project Map

BLM Cedar City Field Office
June 2018 Lease Parcel Sale

Bureau of Land Management
U.S. Department of the Interior



INTERDISCIPLINARY TEAM NEPA CHECKLIST

Project Title: June 2018 Oil and Gas Lease Sale

NEPA Log Number: DOI-BLM-UT-C010-2018-0004-DNA

File/Serial Number: UTU88167 – Presale Offer; Parcel UT0618 – 004

Project Leader: Gina Ginouves

DETERMINATION OF STAFF: *(Choose one of the following abbreviated options for the left column)*

NP = not present in the area impacted by the proposed or alternative actions

NI = present, but not affected to a degree that detailed analysis is required

PI = present with potential for relevant impact that need to be analyzed in detail in the EA

NC = (DNAs only) actions and impacts not changed from those disclosed in the existing NEPA documents cited in Section D of the DNA form.

The rationale column should include NI and NP discussions.

RESOURCES AND ISSUES CONSIDERED:



Determination	Resource	Rationale for Determination	Signature	Date
NC	Air Quality	Air quality impacts would be the same or essentially similar to those described in DOI-BLM-UT-C020-2017-0001-EA. Attach lease notices UT-LN-99 and UT-LN-102.	D. Fletcher	08/29/17
NC	Areas of Critical Environmental Concern	The Cedar Beaver Garfield Antimony RMP was reviewed; there are no Areas of Critical Environmental Concern within the proposed action area.	Dave Jacobson	9-19-2017
NC	Cultural Resources	Cedar City Field Office archaeologist Jamie Palmer conducted a literature review of the parcel on August 25, 2017. The results of his review revealed this parcel has not been field surveyed for cultural resources, but is in the Beaver Front High Density cultural resource area. As stated in DOI-BLM-UT-C020-2015-0009-EA, Lease Notice UT-LN-92 will be added to this parcel. Based on the literature review and the mitigation of impacts to cultural resources afforded by lease stipulations, Utah Lease Notices, and the cultural resources stipulation required by Handbook H-3120-1, the Cedar City Field Office has determined that this lease sale to have "No Adverse Effect to Historic Properties".	Jamie Palmer	8/28//2017
NC	Environmental Justice	As stated in DOI-BLM-UT-C020-2015-0009-EA, there would be no impact to this resource.	G.Ginouves	8/24/17
NC	Farmlands (Prime or Unique)	There are likely soils within the parcel that are capable of being developed into important, prime or unique farmlands. This would be based on irrigation water being provided. The general lack of irrigation water on the parcel eliminates it from being important, prime or unique farmlands. There are no known proposed land exchanges within the area that would make the parcel available for farming in the future. In the event any authorized important, prime or unique farmlands are found during the analysis process, those parcels should include a notice that surface occupancy would not be allowed on the agricultural areas. As stated in DOI-BLM-UT-C020-2015-0009-EA, there would be no impact to this resource.	D. Fletcher	08/29/17

Determination	Resource	Rationale for Determination	Signature	Date
NC	Fish and Wildlife	The parcel is located in crucial mule deer winter range. Lease Notice UT-LN-01 would be attached to the lease. Wild turkey and black bear habitat also exists within the project area. Impacts would be similar to those described in DOI-BLM-UT-C020-2015-0009-EA.	D. Schaible	8/30/17
NC	Floodplains	Published Beaver County floodplain data could not be located. Based on local knowledge of the area Indian Creek is considered to be a 100 year floodplain. The floodplain has the potential to contribute water to the Minersville Reservoir, which is on the State of Utah's 303(d) list of impaired waters. Protective measures that would be applied to the parcel would ensure that impacts to floodplains would be minimized if the parcel is developed. Attach Lease Notice UT-LN-55 to the parcel. As stated in DOI-BLM-UT-C020-2015-0009-EA, there would be no impact to this resource on the stated parcel.	D. Fletcher	08/29/17
NC	Fuels/Fire Management	As stated in DOI-BLM-UT-C020-2015-0009-EA, the proposed action would have no impact on Fuels/Fire Management.	M. Mendenhall	8/30/17
NC	Geology / Mineral Resources/Energy Production	There are no active mining claims, leases or sales contracts on the parcel. Analysis contained in DOI-BLM-UT-C020-2015-0009-EA and DOI-BLM-UT-C020-2017-0001-EA are adequate for this proposed action.	E. Ginouves	8/24/17
NC	Greenhouse Gas Emissions	Refer to Air Quality Section. Attach Lease Notice UT-LN – 102 to the parcel. Although DOI-BLM-UT-C020-2017-0001-EA was prepared for the Richfield Field Office, potential greenhouse gas emissions in the Cedar City Field Office are the same as that described in the affected environment in this document. Impacts to greenhouse gas emissions would be the same or essentially similar to those described in DOI-BLM-UT-C020-2017-0001-EA.	D. Fletcher	08/29/17
NC	Hydrologic Conditions	The parcel is located in the upper watershed of the Minersville Reservoir, which is on the state 303(d) list of impaired waters. Analysis contained in DOI-BLM-UT-C020-2015-0009-EA and DOI-BLM-UT-C020-2017-0001-EA are adequate for this proposed action. Attach lease notice UT-LN – 55 to the parcel.	D. Fletcher	08/29/17
NC	Invasive Species/Noxious Weeds	Noxious weeds are known to occur within the parcel. Attach Lease Notice UT-LN-52. Analysis contained in DOI-BLM-UT-C020-2015-0009-EA and DOI-BLM-UT-C020-2017-0001-EA are adequate for this proposed action.	D. Fletcher	08/29/17
NC	Lands/Access	There are no rights-of-way, leases or developments on the parcel. There are no existing BLM roads to the parcel and it is surrounded by private land. Any operations would need to be coordinated with adjacent non-federal landowners. Off-lease ancillary facilities that cross public land, if any, would require a separate authorization. As stated in DOI-BLM-UT-C020-2015-0009-EA, there would be no impact to this resource.	Michelle Campeau	08/24/17
NC	Lands with Wilderness Characteristics	According to the 2015 inventory, there are no lands with wilderness characteristics in the parcel.	Dave Jacobson	9-19-2017
NC	Livestock Grazing	The Pine Creek/Indian Creek Allotment is actively grazed by livestock and is located within the parcel. As stated in DOI-BLM-UT-C020-2015-0009-EA, there would be no impact to this resource.	D. Fletcher	08/29/17

Determination	Resource	Rationale for Determination	Signature	Date
NC	Migratory Birds	Habitat for priority migratory birds occurs on the parcel and will require the application of lease notice UT-LN-45 . Additionally, a raptor nest has been identified and located approximately 1.0 miles south of the Proposed Action boundary. Apply UT-LN-43 and 44 . Analysis contained in DOI-BLM-UT-C020-2015-0009-EA and DOI-BLM-UT-C020-2017-0001-EA are adequate for this proposed action.	D. Schaible	8/30/17
NC	Native American Religious Concerns	At this time there are no known Native American concerns regarding this lease sale. Consultation with Native American tribes is ongoing. Tribes with a local interest include the Paiute Indian Tribe of Utah, the Pueblo of Jemez, and the Hopi Tribe (Arizona). Lease notice UT-LN-92 will be added to this parcel. Analysis contained in DOI-BLM-UT-C020-2015-0009-EA and DOI-BLM-UT-C020-2017-0001-EA are adequate for this proposed action.	Jamie Palmer	8/29/2017
NC	Paleontology	The surficial geology of the parcel is Quaternary alluvium derived from weathered Tertiary-age volcanics. The surficial formation would be categorized as Class 1, very low potential for fossil remains, using the Bureau's Potential Fossil Yield Classification System. The probability of future lease operations impacting fossils is negligible and no lease notices regarding fossil resources are warranted. As stated in DOI-BLM-UT-C020-2015-0009-EA, there would be no impact to this resource.	E. Ginouves	8/24/17
NC	Rangeland Health Standards	Leasing of these parcels would not be expected to impact Rangeland Health Standards. As stated in DOI-BLM-UT-C020-2015-0009-EA, there would be no impact to this resource.	D. Fletcher	08/29/17
NC	Recreation	There are no Special Recreation Management Areas (SRMAs) within the proposed action area. Recreation in the area is primarily dispersed recreation as part of the Extended Recreation Management Area (ERMA). The parcel is surrounded by private land and is not used extensively for recreation purposes. There are no BLM roads to the parcel which do not cross private land. As stated in DOI-BLM-UT-C020-2015-0009-EA, there would be no impact to this resource.	Dave Jacobson	9-19-2017
NC	Socio-Economics	As stated in DOI-BLM-UT-C020-2015-0009-EA, there would be no impact to this resource.	G.Ginouves	8/25/17
NC	Soils	Leasing would not have an impact on soils. The parcel is adjacent to Indian Creek and consists of a vegetative community that is dominated by Pinyon and Juniper with little herbaceous understory. Slopes are relatively flat within the area. Reclamation potential is expected to be good based on the success of vegetation treatments that have occurred within the area immediately adjacent (south) to the parcel. As stated in DOI-BLM-UT-C020-2015-0009-EA, there would be no impact to this resource.	D. Fletcher	08/29/17
NC	Special Status Animal Species	No Threatened, Endangered, or Candidate wildlife species listed on the Endangered Species Act are known to occur in the project area at this time. There are SSS species such as bats that inhabit the area; however, the Proposed Action entails limited surface disturbance activities and would have minimal impacts. Analysis contained in DOI-BLM-UT-C020-	C. England	9/05/17

Determination	Resource	Rationale for Determination	Signature	Date
		2015-0009-EA and DOI-BLM-UT-C020-2017-0001-EA are adequate for this proposed action.		
NI	SS Animal – Greater Sage-grouse	The parcel is not located in a Priority or General Habitat Management Area as defined in the Approved Resource Management Plan Amendment for greater sage-grouse, signed in September 2015. The proposed area is over 6 miles from occupied habitat and over 10 miles from PHMA.	D. Schaible V. Tyler	8/30/17 09/5/17
NC	Special Status Plant Species	No BLM Sensitive or Threatened, Endangered or Candidate Plant Species are known to occur on the proposed parcel. As stated in DOI-BLM-UT-C020-2015-0009-EA, there would be no impact to this resource.	Mitch Bayles	8/28/2017
NC	Vegetation	Refer to Livestock Grazing and Rangeland Health Standards sections. Analysis contained in DOI-BLM-UT-C020-2015-0009-EA and DOI-BLM-UT-C020-2017-0001-EA are adequate for this proposed action.	D. Fletcher	08/29/17
NC	Visual Resources	The parcel would be located in VRM Class IV according to the CBGA RMP. Leasing and development would be consistent with this VRM class. As stated in DOI-BLM-UT-C020-2015-0009-EA, there would be no impact to this resource.	Dave Jacobson	9-19-2017
NC	Wastes (hazardous or solid)	There are currently no known waste issues associated with the proposed lease area. As stated in DOI-BLM-UT-C020-2015-0009-EA, there would be no impact to this resource.	S. Houston	08/29/17
NC	Water Resources/Quality (drinking/surface/ground)	See also floodplains and hydrology. The parcel is within the watershed of the Minersville Reservoir, a 303(d) state listed impaired water. Lease notice UT-LN-55 should be applied to the lease parcel. Analysis contained in DOI-BLM-UT-C020-2015-0009-EA and DOI-BLM-UT-C020-2017-0001-EA are adequate for this proposed action.	D. Fletcher	08/29/17
NC	Wetlands/Riparian Zones	Portions of the parcel are within 500 feet of Indian Creek; therefore Lease Notice UT-LN-55 should be applied to the parcel. Analysis contained in DOI-BLM-UT-C020-2015-0009-EA and DOI-BLM-UT-C020-2017-0001-EA are adequate for this proposed action.	E. Shotwell	08/29/17
NC	Wild and Scenic Rivers	There are no wild and scenic rivers in the field office area. No potential segments were identified in the area during the recent inventory.	Dave Jacobson	9-19-2017
NC	Wilderness/WSA	There are no wilderness or wilderness study areas in or near the proposed parcel.	Dave Jacobson	9-19-2017
NC	Wild Horses	The proposed parcel is not in or near a wild horse herd management area or herd area.	C. Hunter	08/29/17
NC	Woodland / Forestry	As stated in DOI-BLM-UT-C020-2015-0009-EA, there would be no impact to this resource.	C. Peterson	08/31/17

FINAL REVIEW:

Reviewer Title	Signature	Date	Comments
Environmental Coordinator		12/14/17	
Authorized Officer		4-20-18	

